



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/837,864	04/18/2001	Tao T. Tao	T0457/7003 TJO	7511	
23628	7590	04/19/2006	EXAMINER		
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC				MARTIN, ANGELA J	
FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA				ART UNIT	
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE				1745	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02210-2206					

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/837,864	TAO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Angela J. Martin	1745	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 February 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 2-48,50 and 51 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 2-48,50 and 51 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on February 2, 2006. The Applicant has amended claims 43, 44, 50; and canceled claim 1. However, a new rejection is presented for the following reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 43-45, 50, 51, 4, 8, 9, 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch, DE 4004220 (abstract; referred to in PCT), in view of Badwal et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,942,349, and further view of Breault et al., U.S. Pat. No. 4,824,739.

Rejection of claims 43-45, 50, 51, 4, 8, 9, 23-31 drawn to an electrochemical device.

Koch teaches an electrochemical device comprising an anode, which is chemically rechargeable, and a source of fuel exposable to the anode (abstract). It teaches the anode comprises metal (abstract). It also teaches the anode is chemically rechargeable to a reduced state from an oxidized state comprising an oxide consisting of a metal oxide (abstract). It teaches the device is capable of producing electricity in the absence of fuel (abstract). It also teaches the device is operable at temperatures

Art Unit: 1745

below 1000 degrees C (abstract). In addition, it teaches the anode comprises lead (abstract). It teaches the fuel, when exposed to the anode, is in contact with the anode (abstract).

Koch does not teach the device is self-repairing; does not teach a sealant precursor.

Badwal et al., teach the device is self-healing (col. 3, lines 42-45).

Breault et al., teach a sealant precursor.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to insert the teachings of Badwal et al., into the teachings of Koch because it would be a protective advantage and advantageous to the life of the electrochemical device if it were able to repair itself. In addition, a sealant precursor is advantageous in order to have more flexibility in the placement of the seals throughout the device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2, 3, 5-7, 10-22, 32-42, 46-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch, DE 4004220, in view of Natsuko et al., GB2278010.

Rejection of claims 2, 3, 5-7, 10-22, 32-42, 46-48 drawn to an electrochemical device.

Natsuko et al., teach an electrochemical device comprising a source of a chemical reductant to chemically recharge the anode (abstract). It teaches the reductant is the source of the fuel (p. 2, last 2 paragraphs). It also teaches the anode comprises at least two metals (p. 5, Example 1). It teaches the electrolyte in ionic communication with the anode (p. 3, last para.). It teaches the electrolyte is a solid-state electrolyte (p. 3, last para.). It also teaches the electrolyte has a formula of ZrO₂ (p. 5, Example 1). It teaches a cathode in ionic communication with the electrolyte (p. 5, Ex. 1). It also teaches the cathode is a solid-state cathode (p. 5, Ex. 1). In addition, it teaches the cathode is a metal oxide (p. 5, Ex. 1). It teaches the cathode comprises a metal; platinum (p. 3, para. 7). It teaches the fuel is in contact with the anode (p. 3, last para. to p. 4, para. 1). It teaches the source of fuel is a reservoir of fuel (Fig. 1). It also teaches the fuel is a carbonaceous material; which is a hydrocarbon; the hydrocarbon is a natural gas (p. 5, para. 4). It teaches a “carbonaceous fuel” which would comprise different sources and different kinds of the fuel, since “carbonaceous fuel” is a very broad term which could encompass both different sources and kinds of fuel.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to insert the teachings of Natsuko et al., into the teachings of Koch because the electrochemical device would be more efficient by chemically recharging the anode, as described in Natsuko et al. Additionally, anode metals of the references are those taught in the Application and would therefore have a standard reduction

potential greater than 0.070 V versus the Standard Hydrogen Electrode. The cathode material described is well known in the art and the electrical output described would be within the described range since the anode, cathode, and electrolyte materials are equivalent within the prior art references.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed February 2, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that "no rejections have been made to claims 43-45, 50, 04 51. Thus, it is believed that these claims are allowable." However, it was an oversight by the Examiner, and the claims have been addressed as described above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela J. Martin whose telephone number is 571-272-1288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



AJM

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "AJM". Below the signature, the initials "AJM" are printed in a smaller, clean font.